

NEW HAVEN, CT 06510

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/717,806 11/19/2003 Dominic J. Mongillo JR. EH-10997 (03-531) 8664 34704 7590 01/03/2005 **EXAMINER** BACHMAN & LAPOINTE, P.C. NGUYEN, NINH H 900 CHAPEL STREET PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT **SUITE 1201** 

> 3745 DATE MAILED: 01/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				Applicati n N .		Applicant(s)	
	0.00			10/717,806		MONGILLO ET AL.	
	Οπις	Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit	
				Ninh H. Ng	•	3745	
The MAILING DATE of this c mmunication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-5 and 8-12 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 6 and 7 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>						
Application Papers							
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on 19 November 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment	t(s)						
1) 🛛 Notic	e of Reference	es Cited (PTO-892)			4) Interview Summary (	PTO-413)	
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsper	son's Patent Drawing Review (four Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or			Paper No(s)/Mail Dai 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te	D-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/717,806 Page 2

Art Unit: 3745

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-4, and 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schwarzmann et al. (4,278,400).

Schwarzmann discloses a turbine component in the form of a blade (Fig. 1) comprising a trailing edge portion, means for cooling the trailing edge portion comprising a plurality of rows of circular pedestals 48 which varies into density along a span of the component;

wherein the number of rows of pedestals increases from two to four as one moves along the span of the component from an inner diameter region to an outer diameter region (Fig. 1); and

wherein the number of pedestal rows in the outer diameter region is at least twice as many as the number of pedestal rows in the inner diameter region (Fig. 1).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/717,806 Page 3

Art Unit: 3745

4. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schwarzmann et

al.

Schwarzmann discloses all the limitations except there are neither seven pedestal rows in the outer diameter region nor three pedestal rows in the inner diameter region as claimed.

Since the applicant has not disclosed that having seven pedestal rows in the outer diameter region and three pedestal rows in the inner diameter region solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose above the fact that the rows of pedestal promotes turbulent flow and facilitate better cooling of the trailing edge region, and it appears that the turbine blade of Schwarzmann would perform equally well with the pedestal rows configuration as defined claimed by applicant, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify the turbine blade of Schwarzmann by utilizing the specific pedestal rows configuration as claimed.

5. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schwarzmann et al. in view of Browner (3,094,310).

Schwarzmann discloses all the limitations except the turbine component is not a turbine vane as claimed.

Browmer teaches the need to cool a stator and rotor blade of a turbine (col. 1, lines 9-14), and a turbine blade can be cooled by forming a plurality of rows of pedestal formed in the trailing edge region of the blade (Fig. 5) to promote turbulent flow of coolant in the trailing edge region for better cooling of the trailing edge of the turbine blade (col. 3, lines 10-17).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to form a plurality of varying rows of pedestals at the trailing edge region of

a turbine stator vane and to provide a coolant flow over the pedestals for the purpose of cooling the trailing edge of the turbine vane as taught by Browman.

### Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 6 and 7, due to the limitation of an inlet for a cooling passage at the outer diameter of the component, are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Prior Art

The prior art made of record but not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure and consists of 1patent.

Yamarik et al. (4,278,400) is cited to show a turbine blade having a plurality of varying rows of pedestal at the trailing edge of the blade.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Ninh Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4823. The examiner can be normally reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M. Art Unit: 3745

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Look, can be reached at (571) 272-4820. The fax number for this group is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, please go to http://pair-direct.uspto.gov or contact the Electronic Business center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

NINH H. NGUYÉN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Nhn

December 21, 2004